(3) The Inspector was of opinion that the Headmaster whom the Manager chose to retain was quite as responsible as the assistant teachers for the unsatisfactory condition of the school.

(4) The Inspector visited the school on the re-opening date in Edavam 1102 and examined the Headmaster, but did not summon any of the signatories in the petition and the counter-petition. He was convinced that the Headmaster was equally responsible, if not more, for the unsatisfactory state of affairs and that if he could be retained there was equal justification for the retention of the others.

(5) The Director visited the school several times. On 21st Medam 1103 he found that the school was in a very bad condition and that the new Manager was trying to improve matters which the teachers did not relish.

(6) No petition was received dated 25th Kumbham 1102 but on 4th Meenam 1102 two of the above teachers put in one regarding the disbursement of their salaries. As regards the report of the Inspector on the petition information is being collected.

(7) The teachers were given notices before they were relieved from the school. On a local enquiry, the Director was satisfied that the teachers were responsible for the unsatisfactory condition of the school and the changes made by the Manager in the staff were temporarily approved, the dismissal of the teachers being converted to suspension for a year. The Headmaster was also ordered to be suspended for a year.

(8) The staff list for the school year 1102 to 1103 was submitted in Edavam 1102 and it was approved with necessary modifications in Dhanu 1103.

(9) The grant of the school was kept under suspension for some time owing to reported irregularities ; but when matters were set right it was restored in Dhanu 1103.

(10) Yes. The Director was ordered to conduct an independent inquiry as required by S. O. No. 75 (2) and to dispose of the case in the light of the facts disclosed at the inquiry.

(11) Suspension for a year was considered adequate punishment to the three teachers and the Manager was directed to re-entertain them on the expiry of this period. The Headmaster was also suspended for a like period. The Manager re-entertained the thrown out teachers from the beginning of the school year 1103—1104.

Article in the Mahati Newspaper.

620. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether the attention of the Government was

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

drawn to the article "പള്ളിനൃത്തകളും പ്രത്നമയും" in the *Mahathi* newspaper published on 17th Mithunam 1103; if not, will the Government be pleased to peruse the same and call for a report by the Director of Public Instruction with regard to the allegations contained in that article and place a copy of the report on the Table of the House; are the allegations in the article true?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—Government have perused the article after the receipt of the question. A copy of the Inspector's report with a copy of the Manager's explanation is appended. (*Vide Appendix IV.*)

Vide copy of the Inspector's report referred to above.

Government Trades School, Trichur.

621. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state with regard to the Government Trades School, Trichur:—

A. (1) the total expenditure for the purchase of raw materials every year since the school was started;

(2) the amount spent every year for outside labour;

(3) the sale proceeds realised every year by the sale of finished articles manufactured in the school;

(4) the value of the finished articles in hand;

(5) the value of the raw materials in hand;

(6) the total wastage;

B. (1) the total expenditure for the purchase of tools;

(2) the value of the tools in hand;

(3) were the non-existing tools sufficiently accounted for;

C. what are the items of expenditure for this school other than the purchase of raw materials and tools and what is the amount spent every year under each item;

(D) has any serious accident occurred to pupils in the school; if so, when and who were responsible for it;

(E) for how many hours is instruction imparted to pupils in each of the classes in the school;

(F) who fixes the prices of finished articles and what are the rules, if any, which regulates the fixing of the same?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—The institution was started in 1910, and a laborious and prolonged search and scrutiny of papers will have to be arranged if the figures for the earlier years are to be furnished which the Government think is not

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX III.

(Vide answer to Question No. 616.)

	Names of Designation.	Reasons.
1	Mr, P. Neelakanda Menon, Commissioner of Police.	Generally in recognition of the record of their past services and as an incentive for efficient work in future.
2	Mr.U. Kandar Menon, Secretary to the Diwan.	
3	Mr. K. G. Sebastian, 3rd Clerk, Tramway Engineer's Office.	
4	Mrs. Kohl hoff, Assistant Surgeon.	
5	Mr. C. R. Balasubramania Ayyar, Excise Inspector, Kanayannur.	
6	Mr. T. P. Narayana Ayyar, Excise Inspector, Talapilly.	
7	Mr. A. Renganatha Rao, Superintendent, Comptroller's Office.	
8	Mr. N. N. Dharmaraja Ayyar, Head clerk, Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.	
9	Mr. R. Sesha Ayyar, Receiver's clerk, Anjikaimal District Court.	
10	Mr. K. Kochunni Menon, Superintendent of Registration (Retired).	
11	Mr. A. P. Anthony, Superintendent of Registration.	
12	Mr. T. P. Anantanarayana Ayyar, Senior Inspector of Schools.	
13	Mr. T. P. Verghese, Headmaster, Training School, Trichur.	
14	Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon, Diwan Peishkar.	
15	Mr. T. V. Ramalinga Ayyar, District Magistrate.	
16	Mr. C. V. Antony, Excise Superintendent.	
17	Mr. V. Achyuta Menon, Anchal Superintendent.	

APPENDIX IV.

(Vide answer to Question No. 620.)

Letter, dated 19th March 1929, C. No. 933 of 04 from the Inspector, Central Division.

With reference to your Memo, dated 1—3—1929, L. C. No. 12 of 04, I have the honour to report that the article is a little bit exaggerated. There has been no compulsion on the non-Christian pupils.

The explanation of the manager may be accepted and the matter dropped.

The cutting is also herewith returned.

Explanation dated 4—3—04, from the Manager, St. Antony's Lower Secondary School, Mala.

With reference to your order, dated 20—2—04, C. No. 933 of 1104 consequent upon D. P. I's. Memo, dated 29—9—28, L. C. 12 of 04, I have the honour to submit the following explanation to your sympathetic perusal.

I shall not be far from being right if I deny the veracity of the article published in 'Mahathi' *in toto*. It is a practice, followed in all Christian institutions, to utter a short prayer at 12 noon. This fact I have insisted in my school too. During the prayer time the non-Catholics are expected to keep perfect silence, lest they might be a disturbance during that short time of devotion. I am very sorry that either 'Mahathi' has misunderstood our action or that some evil genius is working behind her against us. There is some truth in the short catastrophe which took place in our school. Once during the prayer time some non-Catholics, disturbing the prayers contrary to our expectation, were sent out of the class. They were soon allowed to enter the class on the strength of their promise of better behaviour in future. Thereafter the prayer business has been going on without any molestation or complaint.

With due deference to humility may I request your honour not to put us to task by explanations for such random articles which are, in most cases, the work of our antagonists, who are always on the lookout to wield their pen against us, with the settled and conspired motive of murdering the established reputation of our budding institution

(True copies)

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly